

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 7 PART 143 pp. 2029 - 2248

JULY 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Abacha v. State p. 2029
2. Bako v. Laniyan p. 2145
3. Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153
4. Comptroller Nigerian Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185
5. Comptroller Nigerian Prisons Services v. Adekanye (2) p. 2213
6. Comptroller Nigerian Prisons Services v. Adekanye (3) p. 2219
7. Eghobamien v. Federal Mortgage Bank of Nigeria p. 2229
8. Emedo v. State p. 2239

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Lack of - Basis - It is only legal person or body capable of suing or being sued - That can possibly lack locus standi - To bring particular action (H4) Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

ACTIONS - Parties - Right to legal representation - Party to a suit has right to a legal practitioner of his choice - To defend his interests in any matter (H1) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (3) p. 2219

APPEALS - Basis - As ruling of the trial court was not based on provisions of the African Charter of Human Rights - Appeal to Court of Appeal cannot be predicated on the Act (H3) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185

APPEALS - Courts - Issues - Suo motu raising - Olusanya v. Olusanya - Where appellate court raises point suo motu - Parties must be given opportunity to address court on the points - Before decision is made (H4) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185

APPEALS - Determination - Propriety - Since the appeal and cross-appeal are based on judgment - Which Supreme Court has set aside - There is no reason to consider the merit therein (H2) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (3) p. 2219

APPEALS - Error - Court of Appeal - Was clearly in grave error - In allowing an appeal which was never before it (H1) Bako v. Laniyan p. 2145

APPEALS - Ground of law - Raised without leave - Competence - The ground being of law is competent - And it is not necessary to obtain leave to file and argue it (H2) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185

APPEALS - Issues - Determination - Basis - Appellate court considers issues - Based on grounds of appeal before it - Since trial court ought to have made primary findings of fact (H5) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185

CHARGES - Preferment - Application for - Grant - There must be clear particulars and facts in the proof of evidence - To justify grant of the application - As trial is not automatic (H1) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

CHARGES - Indictment - Need for clarity - The charge must be clear - So that accused will understand the complaint against him (H9) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

COMPANY LAW - Foreign companies - Right of action - Since Nigeria is part of comity of nations - Foreign corporations should be granted right - To sue and be sued in Nigerian courts (H1) *Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd* p. 2153

COMPANY LAW - Legal personality - Proof - Addition of LTD or PLC to company's name - Would not necessarily denote a legal person (H2) *Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd* p. 2153

COMPANY LAW - Legal personality - Proof - Means - Except where admitted by opposing party - Company claiming legal personality must prove same - By tendering its certificate of incorporation (H3) *Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd* p. 2153

COURTS - Discretion - Exercise of - Challenge to - Judge's discretion is not absolute - As same can be challenged by aggrieved party - So as to show that the discretion was not judiciously exercised (H2) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

COURTS - Issues - Determination - Basis - Court determines issue on legally admissible evidence - As it has no discretion to act on evidence made inadmissible - By statutory provision (H1) *Eghobamien v. Federal Mortgage Bank of Nig* p. 2229

COURTS - Issues - Settlement of - Courts are vested with power to settle issues between parties - And they must ensure that genuine issues are settled (H4) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 7 KLR**

COURTS - Proceedings - Purpose - Object of court is to decide rights of parties - And not to punish them for mistakes made - In the course of conduct of their cases (H6) *Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd* p. 2153

COURTS - Processes - Abuse - Prevention of - Courts have inherent power - To prevent abuse of their processes - By any of the parties (H3) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - “Prima facie” - Meaning - The phrase may refer to facts clearly revealing a crime - And the crime links the accused (H7) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Attorney-General’s powers - Delegation - The private legal practitioners were duly appointed - To act for appellants for prosecution of respondents (H1) *Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1)* p. 2185

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Indictment - Application to quash - Determination - Depositions made by potential witnesses and accused must be read - So as to find if there was prima facie case - For accused to answer (H6) *Abacha v. State* p. 2029

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Judgment - On no case submission - Propriety - In considering a no case submission - A judge should not write judgment - But a brief ruling - And make no observation on the facts (H2) *Emedo v. State* p. 2239

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Judgment - Technicality - Effect - Irregularity of writing judgment instead of a ruling - Is not a factor justifying setting aside of verdict - Unless a miscarriage of justice was occasioned (H3) *Emedo v. State* p. 2239

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission - Determination - If a no case submission is made - Judge is to consider whether evidence exists for conviction - And whether it is safe to convict on such evidence (H4) *Emedo v. State* p. 2239

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission - Propriety of - Such submission is upheld when no evidence exist to prove offence - And when prosecution evidence cannot be relied upon - To convict accused (H1) Emedo v. State p. 2239

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Prima facie case - CPL ss. 72, 77 & 340 - Challenge - The sections can be challenged - Especially where no prima facie case - Is manifest against an accused (H5) Abacha v. State p. 2029

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Suspicion - Effect - Suspicion however well placed - Does not amount to prima facie evidence - As prosecution must be wary of being accused of persecution - Rather than prosecution (H10) Abacha v. State p. 2029

JUDGMENTS - Writing of - Basis - Judgment is written based on evidence received - And it is wrong for a judge to write judgment - On evidence Recorded by another judge (H2) Eghobamien v. Federal Mortgage Bank of Nig p. 2229

MURDER - Accessory after the fact - Proof - Where an accessory is tried alone - There must be proof that murder was committed - By the principal offender (H8) Abacha v. State p. 2029

PLEADINGS - Amendment - Leave - Parties may amend pleadings in the course of trial - But leave must be granted for so doing (H5) Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

STATUTES - Presumption of regularity - Decree No. 18 of 1994 - It is presumed that s. 24(2) thereof is complied - Whenever a private legal practitioner appears for NDIC or CBN - To prosecute criminal offences (H1) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (2) p. 2213

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

African Charter on Human and Peoples Rights (Ratification and Enforcement) Act Cap. 10 LFN 1990 Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) p. 2185

Bills of Exchange Act, Cap. 35, ss. 54, 43(2), 47 Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

Companies Act 1968, ss. 2, 3 and 4 Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

Companies and Allied Matters Act 1990 Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, ss. 160, 6(6)(a), Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (2) & (3) pp. 2213, 2219

Constitutions of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 6 (6)(b) Bank of Baroda v. Iyalabani Co Ltd p. 2153

Court of Appeal Act, s. 16 Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (3) p. 2219

Criminal Code Cap 32 Laws of Lagos State 1994, ss. 319(1), 322 and 324 Abacha v. State p. 2029

Criminal Procedure Code Law Cap 33 Laws of Lagos State 1994, ss. 72, 77, 167 and 340(3) Abacha v. State p. 2029

Evidence Act, s. 34(1) Eghobamien v. Federal Mortgage Bank of Nig p. 2229

Failed Banks (Recovery of Debts) & Financial Malpractices in Banks Decree No. 18 of 1994, s. 24(2) Comptroller Nig Prisons Services v. Adekanye (1) & (2) pp. 2185, 2213